# Breakdown of Quasilocality in Long-Range Quantum Lattice Models

Salvatore R. Manmana Institute for Theoretical Physics Georg-August-University Göttingen



J. Eisert et al., PRL 111, 260401 (2013), Breakdown of quasilocality in long-range quantum lattice models



Topic of this talk:



How does information or correlations spread after pushing a system out-of-equilibrium?

### Optical Lattices & Lattice Models



Standing waves of laser light: periodic structures

Mechanism: Stark-Effect

Induced dipolemoment in neutral atoms leads to a trapping force in the periodic potential: "Crystals of Light"

### Optical Lattices & Lattice Models

Typical lattice models:

Hubbard model (e.g., cuprates):

Heisenberg exchange: 2<sup>nd</sup> order perturbation theory for U >> t

$$J ec{S_1} \cdot ec{S_2}$$
 (e.g., quantum magnets)

$$J = \frac{4t^2}{U}$$



11

,Spinless Fermions' (e.g., fully polarized extended Hubbard model):



### Out-of-Equílíbrium Dynamics: Quantum Quenches

### Example: "time-of-flight measurements" (Bragg scattering)

"Quantum Quench": → sudden change of interaction strength U<sub>0</sub> → U



Collapse and Revival of a Bose-Einstein-Condensate»

[M. Greiner et al., Nature (2002)]

e.g., S.R.M. *et al.*, PRB (2009), S.R.M. *et al.*, PRL (2007),

### Out-of-Equílíbrium Dynamics: Quantum Quenches

Typical behavior after a quantum quench:

Relaxation (dephasing)



- Does the system reach a thermal state?
- On which time scale?



Lightcone effect

[P. Calabrese & J. Cardy, PRL (2006)]
 Propagation of information

### Variable interaction range: Ions in a Trap

#### <sup>9</sup>Be<sup>+</sup> ions in a Penning trap (NIST Boulder) [J.W. Britton et al., Nature **484**, 489 (2012)]



 <sup>171</sup>Yb<sup>+</sup> ions (JQI/NIST Maryland) [K. Kim et al., Nature 465, 590 (2010);
 R. Islam et al., Nature Comm. 2,377 (2011); NJP and more...]



Realization of Ising models with transverse field on variety of lattices: Interactions  $\sim 1/r^{\alpha}$ 

### Two natural questions:

- I. Time evolution of correlations?
- II. Vary the exponent of the long-range interaction?



"Light-cone"and emergence of a causal region vs. Instantaneous propagation of information

## Quasílocalíty: Líeb-Robínson bound

QM nonrelativistic: local perturbations can have immediate effect everywhere

*But:* very small for short-range, finite-d systems: light-cone, quasilocality & Lieb-Robinson-bound:

 $\|[O_A(t),O_B(0)]\|$ 

 $\leq C \|O_A\| \|O_B\| \min(|A|, |B|) e^{[v|t| - d(A,B)]/\xi}$ 

Long-range interactions ~  $r^{-\alpha}$ ?

 $\|[O_A(t), O_B(0)]\| \le C \|O_A\| \|O_B\| \frac{\min(|A|, |B|)(e^{v|t|} - 1)}{(\mathsf{d}(A, B) + 1)^{\alpha}}$ 

(Koma&Hastings 2006)

Logarithmic behaviour  $v|t| > \ln\left[1 + \frac{\epsilon[1 + d(A, B)]^{\alpha}}{\min(|A|, |B|)}\right]$ 

## Numerical Methods



How to treat correlated quantum systems on a computer?

[Recent Review Article: S. Paeckel, T. Köhler, A. Swoboda, S.R.M., U. Schollwöck, and C. Hubig,

arXiv:1901.05824, Ann. of Physics (in press)]

### My Maín Tool: Matríx Product State Algoríthms

Basic idea: data compression ("quantum version")







Original – 2.4 MB

Compressed 10x 257 KB

Compressed 20x 122 KB

### → Graphics (acoustics, signal transmission, etc.)

Key aspect:

Ignore modes that cannot be resolved (by the ear, the screen,  $\dots$ ) – excellent quality with much smaller amount of data.

Control parameter here: entanglement.

### MPS Algorithms: Key Aspects

S.R. White, PRL (1992); U. Schollwöck, RMP (2005)/Ann. Phys. (2011); R.M. Noack & S.R.M., AIP (2005)

Schmidt decomposition:

$$\begin{split} |\psi\rangle &= \sum_{j=1}^{\dim \mathcal{H}} w_j \, |\alpha\rangle_j \, |\beta\rangle_j \approx \sum_{j=1}^m w_j \, |\alpha\rangle_j \, |\beta\rangle_j \\ \mathsf{A} & |\alpha\rangle_j \qquad \mathsf{B} \quad |\beta\rangle_j \end{split}$$

Approximation:  $m \ll \dim \mathcal{H}$ (e.g., 1000 sites:  $\dim \mathcal{H} = 2^{1000} > (1 \text{ googol})^3$ . Typical choice: m = 800)

 $|lpha
angle_{j},\,|eta
angle_{j}$  : eigenstates of the reduced density matrix of A or B

- very powerful in 1D
- nonequilibrium, finite-T linear-response dynamics

Key: entanglement entropy

$$S=-\sum_j w_j^2 \log w_j^2$$

 $\Rightarrow$  the larger the entanglement in the system, the larger m

Problem in 2D: "area law of entanglement" - *m* grows exponentially with system size

➡ Frontier of today's efforts.

A. Daley *et al.*, J.Stat. (2004); S.R. White & A.E. Feiguin, PRL (2004); **S.R.M.** *et al.*, AIP (2005); R.M. Noack, **S.R.M.** *et al.*, Springer Lect. Notes (2008); A.C. Tiegel, **S.R.M.**, *et al.*, PRB(R) (2014) **Recent Review: S. Paeckel** *et al.***, arXiv:1901.05824.** 

2D:



➡ Do we always see the lightcone?

### Short-range systems: Nature of the light-cone

t = 0

5

10

li-jl

15

20

25

0.0001

0



### Light cones: Propagation of Information?

[K. Harms, L. Cevolani, S. Kehrein, and S.R. Manmana, in preparation]



- Lieb-Robinson theorem is in general only valid for commutators, i.e., susceptibilities
- Correlation functions can also have a signal *outside* the lightcone suppressed, if exponentially decaying in initial state (e.g., product state)

### Light cone with Dipolar interactions



Looks quite linear!

### Long-range Interactions: Causal Horizon vs. Immediate Spread

 $\alpha = 3/4$ 

[J. Eisert, M. v.d. Worm, S.R. Manmana, and M. Kastner, PRL 111, 260401 (2013)]

 $\alpha = 3/2$ 

 $\alpha = 1/4$ 



generic initial state: causal region appears for  $\alpha > D$ product initial state: causal region appears for  $\alpha > D/2$ 

### Ion-Trap-Experiments

#### Interactions ~ $1/r^{\alpha}$

0.25 0.25 Nearest-neighbor correlations separation r sparation r (e) (d) (a) 0.6 0.20 0.20 correlation C<sub>1,1+r</sub> = 0.63  $\alpha = 0.63$  $\alpha = 0.83$ (m t1.70±.11 0.5 time [1/J<sub>max</sub>] ບັ<sup>0.5</sup> ບັ0.4 time [1/J<sub>max</sub>] 1.55±.07 0.15 0.15 0.52 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.10 0.10 ല 2.0 № 1.5 > 1.0 V/VLB 0.05 0.05 0.5  $\alpha = 1.19$ +1.70±.11  $1.55 \pm .07$ 0 0.00 0.00 0.35 0.03 0.06 0.03 0.06 0 0 4 7 10 4 7 10 0 0.05 0.10 0.15 0.20 time  $[1/J_{max}]$ time [1/J<sub>max</sub>] ion separation r ion separation r time [1/J<sub>max</sub>] 10th-Nearest-neighbor correlations 0.25 0.25 separation r separation r (h) (k) 0.2 (g) 0.97±.17 7 7  $\alpha = 0.63$ 0.17 (n 0.20 0.20  $\alpha = 1.00$  $\alpha = 1.19$ correlation C<sub>1,11</sub> time [1/J<sub>max</sub>] time [1/J<sub>max</sub>] +1.57±.07 0.15 0.15 = 1.19 0.10 0.10 1.5 1.5 H\_N/1 ۱.5 الم 0.00 0.05 0.05 1.57±.07 0.97±.17 0.00 0.00 0.03 0.06 0.03 0.06 0 0.10 10 10 0 0.05 0.15 0.20 Δ time [1/J<sub>max</sub>] time [1/J<sub>max</sub>] ion separation r time [1/J<sub>max</sub>] ion separation r

Not a linear 'region of causality', but curved!

[P. Richerme et al., Nature 511, 198 (2014)]

Algebraic bounds for causality?

Proposed behaviours:



- $\alpha$ >2D: *algebraic* shape of the light-cone rather than logarithmic
- Becomes increasingly linear as  $\alpha$  grows

### Conclusions & Outlook

#### Light cone effect:



General form of the Lieb-Robinson bound for long-range interactions?