PUMA: antiProton Unstable Matter Annihilation



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Noritsugu Nakatsuka

TU Darmstadt

ECT* Trento June 18, 2019



Outline



PUMA concept

- Physics cases @ CERN
- Sensitivity to physics cases
- Technical development

Antiprotonic Atom



Markov Past works at BNL and CERN on antiproton annihilation with stable nuclei

Antiprotonic Atom



P annihilates at the density tail of nuclei

Antiproton - Nuclei annihilation



 \mathbf{M} X-ray measurement shows the annihilation takes place at the surface \mathbf{M} Isotope shift effect between ^{172, 176}Y -> N/P ratio at the density tail



P can be an unique probe to investigate the density tail of nuclei

Antiproton - Nuclei annihilation



TABLE II. Number of antiprotons stopped in each target, (A_t-1) nuclei with $Z' = Z_t - 1$ and with $N' = N_t - 1$, half-lives $T_{1/2}$, and γ energies E_{γ} of lines which were used for the evaluation.

P can be an unique probe to investigate the density tail of nuclei

| Target | Number of stopped \overline{p} (10 ⁸) | Z' and N' nucleus | $T_{1/2}$ | (| E_{γ} keV) | | Stop / / |
|--|--|--|--|---|-----------------------------|--|--|
| ⁴⁸ Ca | 8.3 | | 17.5 s | 2013, | 586, 565 | | Stopped P exp. |
| ¹⁰⁰ Mo | 8.3 | → ⁴⁷ Ca PN ⁹⁹ Nb ⁹⁹ Mo | 4.54 d 15.0 s/2.6 m 65 9 h | 1297, 138/25 740 | 489, 807 54, 351, 181 | | |
| ¹⁰⁴ Ru | 4.2 | ¹⁰³ Tc ¹⁰³ Ru | 54.2 s 39.26 d | 346, 49 | 136, 7, 610 | | Shielding Detector Target 2 |
| ¹¹² Sn | 9.3 | ¹¹¹ In ¹¹¹ Sn | 7.7 m/2.80 d 35.3 m | 53 762, | 7/245 457, | | |
| ¹¹⁶ Cd | 3.9 | ¹¹⁵ Ag ¹¹⁵ Cd | 18.0 s/20.0 m 2.23 d/44.6 d | 389, 361, 1 336, 52 | 13/213, 472, 8/934, 1290 | | γ ray from residual nucleus FIG. 1. Schematic view of the setue for irradiation and activa- |
| ¹²⁴ Sn | 8.5 | ¹²³ In ¹²³ Sn | 5.98 s/47.8 s 40.1 m/129.2 d | 1130, 16 | 1019/126 0/1089 | L | tion measurement of short-lived isotopes. S_1 and S_2 are anticounter and counter of the scintillation-counter telescope, respectively. |
| Target | $\frac{N(\overline{p},n)}{1000\ \overline{p}}$ | <u>N</u> 10 | $\frac{\overline{(\overline{p},p)}}{000 \ \overline{p}}$ | $\frac{N(\overline{p},n)}{N(\overline{p},p)}$ | $f_{ m halo}^{ m periph}$ | $\frac{N(A_t - 1)}{1000 \ \overline{p}}$ | _ |
| ⁴⁸ Ca | 76 (38) | 29 | 9 (15) | 2.62 (30) | 2.97 (34) | 105 (53) | Counting number of |
| ¹⁰⁴ Ru | 55 (16) | 19 | 9.4 (15) 9 (6) | 2.82(23) 2.9(4) | 3.24 (26) 3.4 (5) | 90 (8) 75 (21) | residual nucleus |
| ¹¹² Sn | 52 (9) | 66 | 5 (11) | 0.79 (14) | 1.01 (18) | 118 (12) | |
| ¹¹⁰ Cd ¹²⁴ Sn | $ \begin{array}{c} 130 (31) \\ 98 (15) \end{array} $ | 26 19 | 9.6 (15) | 5.0 (21) 5.0 (6) | 5.6 (23) 5.4 (6) | 156 (55) 118 (9) | |
| | | | | | | | = |

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Moderator

Target 1

He atmosphere

Beam line

Antiproton - Nuclei annihilation



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

PUMA propose pion measurementPossibility to access short-lived nuclei



Pion measurement has sensitivity to Isospin.

Outline



PUMA concept

Physics cases @ CERN

- Sensitivity to physics cases
- Technical development



Physics cases: Neutron skins and halos



Neutron Skins and Halos have been extensively studied, but...
 Still one of the major topics in unstable nuclei



Mod. from T. Aumann and T. Nakamura Phys. Scr, T152. (2013)

Matter radius (n-radius) is difficult to access via hadronic or weak probe.

Halos are not known well (at all) beyond mass 30, while predicted.

-> But no conclusive experimental evidence so far.

Antiprotonic atom can provide new information on surface phenomena

Day-one physics program @CERN



| Nucleus | T _{1/2} | Statistics 1 day beam | Expected N _n /N _p (Mean field prediction) | |
|--|------------------|--|--|--|
| H, D, ³He,⁴He | stable | high statistics | reference measurements at ELENA | |
| ⁷ Li, ¹⁶ O,Ar, … | stable | high statistics | reference measurements at ELENA | |
| ۶He | 807 ms | 10 ⁷ | Neutron halo > 100 | |
| ⁸ He | 119 ms | 4×10 ⁶ | Thick skin 70(10) | |
| 9,11 Li | 178 ms 8 ms | > 10 ⁶ 2×10 ³ | Neutron halo (¹¹ Li) > 100 | |
| ^{17,18} Ne | 109 ms 1.7 s | 104 | Proton halo < 0.10 | |
| ²⁶⁻³⁰ Ne ²⁸⁻³² Mg | >3 ms | > 10 ² | Neutron halo > 100 | |
| ¹⁰⁴⁻¹³⁸ Sn | >140 ms | >10² | Progression of skin: From 1.0(2) to 4.0(6) | |

PUMA: antiProton Unstable Matter Annihilation



Radioactive nuclei: short life (from msec)Transfer Antiprotons to Radioactive Nuclei

Transport billions of antiprotons from ELENA to ISOLDE



PUMA - a Transportable Ion Trap System





Figure: J. Fisher and A. Schmidt

Outline



PUMA concept

- Physics cases @ CERN
- Sensitivity to physics cases
- Technical development

Uncertainties



- SystematicAnnihilation site, Cascade
 - Multi pion final states
 - \blacksquare Final state interactions of π^{-0+}

→ Theoretical input is needed!

- StatisticBeam intensity
 - ✓ Half life of nuclei
 - Trapping efficiency

Antiprotonic atom a bit more in detail



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

☑ Atomic cascade calculations is necessary to determine annihilation site
 ☑ An example: cascade scheme from kaonic atom



Pion final state a bit more in detail



Multiple pion final state: Data in good agreement with statistical model
 Initial state at annihilation: Heavier mesons

Crystal Barrel at LEAR $< N_{charge} >= 3$, $< N_{neutral} >= 2$ $< N_{total} >= 5$, Gaussian distribution $\int_{0}^{40} \int_{0}^{40} \int_{0}^{10} \int_{0}^{10$



C. Amsler, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70, 4 (1998)

| VInitial s | tate | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------|----------|------|-----------|--------------------------------------|
| | | | В | | Reference |
| $e^{+}e^{-}$ | 3.2 | ± | 0.9 | 10^{-7} | Bassompierre et al. (1976) |
| $\pi^0\pi^0$ | 6.93 | <u>+</u> | 0.43 | 10^{-4} | Amsler et al. (1992a)‡ |
| | 4.8 | ± | 1.0 | 10^{-4} | Devons et al. (1971) |
| $\pi^+\pi^-$ | 3.33 | <u>+</u> | 0.17 | 10^{-3} | Armenteros and French (1969) |
| $\pi^+\pi^-$ | 3.07 | <u>+</u> | 0.13 | 10^{-3} | Amsler et al. (1993b)‡ |
| $oldsymbol{\pi}^{0}oldsymbol{\eta}$ | 2.12 | ± | 0.12 | 10^{-4} | Amsler et al. (1993b)‡ |
| $\pi^0 \eta'$ | 1.23 | <u>+</u> | 0.13 | 10^{-4} | Amsler et al. (1993b)‡ |
| $\pi^0 ho^0$ | 1.72 | <u>+</u> | 0.27 | 10^{-2} | Armenteros and French (1969) |
| $\pi^{\pm} ho^{\mp}$ | 3.44 | ± | 0.54 | 10^{-2} | Armenteros and French (1969) |
| $\eta\eta$ | 1.64 | <u>+</u> | 0.10 | 10^{-4} | Amsler et al. (1993b)‡ |
| $\eta \eta'$ | 2.16 | <u>+</u> | 0.25 | 10^{-4} | Amsler et al. (1993b)‡ |
| $\omega\pi^0$ | 5.73 | ± | 0.47 | 10^{-3} | Amsler et al. (1993b) ^a ‡ |
| | 6.16 | ± | 0.44 | 10^{-3} | Schmid (1991) ^b ‡ |
| ωη | 1.51 | ± | 0.12 | 10^{-2} | Amsler et al. (1993b) ^a ‡ |
| | 1.63 | ± | 0.12 | 10^{-2} | Schmid (1991) ^b ‡ |
| $\omega \eta'$ | 0.78 | <u>+</u> | 0.08 | 10^{-2} | Amsler et al. (1993b)‡ |
| ωω | 3.32 | ± | 0.34 | 10^{-2} | Amsler et al. (1993b)‡ |
| ηho^0 | 4.81 | ± | 0.85 | 10^{-3} | c |
| | 3.87 | ± | 0.29 | 10^{-3} | Abele et al. (1997a); |
| $\eta' ho^0$ | 1.29 | ± | 0.81 | 10^{-3} | Foster et al. (1968a) |
| | 1.46 | ± | 0.42 | 10^{-3} | Urner (1995)‡ |
| $\rho^0 \rho^0$ | 1.2 | ± | 1.2 | 10^{-3} | Armenteros and French (1969) |
| $ ho^0 \omega$ | 2.26 | ± | 0.23 | 10^{-2} | Bizzarri et al. (1969) |
| K^+K^- | 1.01 | ± | 0.05 | 10^{-3} | Armenteros and French (1969) |
| K^+K^- | 0.99 | ± | 0.05 | 10^{-3} | Amsler et al. (1993b)‡ |
| $K_S K_L$ | 7.6 | ± | 0.4 | 10^{-4} | Armenteros and French (1969) |
| $K_S K_L$ | 9.0 | ± | 0.6 | 10^{-4} | Amsler et al. (1995c)‡ |

<Final state>: Multiple π⁻⁰⁺ state <Initial state>: Heavier mesons possible: K^{+-LS},η',,, Possibly interact with residual nucleus Possibility to study hypernuclei etc...

Final state interactions (FSIs)



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Second Produced pions can interact with residual nucleus Second FSIs change total charge of pions/event (Σ) and charged pion multiplicity/event (N)





Sensitivity



Benchmarking machine learning using a Monte-Carlo test data



Upgrade plan to improve sensitivity



Further upgrade of PUMA planned

Decay x-ray measurement =

Rich information of annihilation site, but statistics necessary Recoil nucleus measurement = Background suppression (discuss later)

Example: Decay X ray scheme of antiprotonic ^{172,176}Y



FIG. 4. Measured intensities of antiprotonic x-ray transitions in 172 Yb, normalized to the transition $n = 12 \rightarrow 11$; admixed transitions are marked with an asterisk. *E*: Level energy relative to the level n = 8



FIG. 5. Measured intensities of antiprotonic x-ray transitions in ¹⁷⁶Yb, normalized to the transition $n = 12 \rightarrow 11$; admixed transitions are marked with an asterisk. *E*: Level energy relative to the level n = 8.

¹⁷²Y @ CERN, R. Schmidt *et al.*, PRC 58, 3195 (1998)

Outline



PUMA concept

- Physics cases @ CERN
- Sensitivity to physics cases
- Technical development

PUMA - a Transportable Ion Trap System

UNIVERSITÄT

M Transportable trap that meets constrains from environment (ELENA / ISOLDE) C.H. Tseng and G. Gabrielse, Hyperfine Interactions 76, 381 (1993)

Transporting Frame



PUMA - a Transportable Ion Trap System





Figure: J. Fisher and A. Schmidt

PUMA - Design of Solenoid



Solenoid- Required to minimize residual field for ELENA experiments
 Specific Coil design - simulated by TEMF @ TU Darmstadt
 4 T - Superconducting - homogeneous at trap region

- ✓ Under construction by Nöel-Bilfinger in Würzburg
- ✓ Delivery in early 2020



Residual field



Transportable solenoid: necessary to minimize residual field at ELENA
 Constraints on coil design



Locations at ELENA



Current AEgIS area or new area between GBAR and ASACUSA.
 CERN will make decision IF our proposal will be accepted.



Locations at ISOLDE



✓ Requirement for the beam line: Coupling to MR-TOF, low energy (<3keV) etc...</p>

Location not decided yet Two options discussed so far Vacuum at ISOLDE: up to 10⁻⁶ mb due to gas cooling (RFQ, Helium)



PUMA - a Transportable Ion Trap System





Figure: J. Fisher and A. Schmidt

PUMA - Design of Ion Trap

☑ Consists of Collision and Storage Trap

Pulsed Drift Tube before traps to optimize injection energy

 \mathbf{M} Collision Trap - 2cm diameter, Nested potential to trap \mathbf{P} and A simultaneously

Storage Trap - 4cm large diameter to limit space charge <107/cm³





PUMA - Ion Detection

Ion diagnostics - destructive & non-destructive
 Non-destructive - Induced charge detection circuit
 Destructive imaging - MCP + phosphor screen + CCD readout
 Destructive number count - Faraday cup



PUMA - Plasma Mode Diagnostics

☑ P cloud behaving as non-neutral plasma in a harmonic well
 ☑ Frequency of excited mode ~ diagnostics of plasma

Excite n-n collective mode using RF Equivalent 'n-n plasma' LCR circuit Complete nondestructive diagnostic of nonneutral plasmas based on the detection of electrostatic modes 2.2 M. Amoretti,^{1,a)} G. Bonomi,² A. Bouchta,² P. D. Bowe,³ C. Carraro,^{1,4} C. L. Cesar,⁵ M. Charlton,⁶ M. Doser,² A. Fontana,^{7,8} M. C. Fujiwara,⁹ R. Funakoshi,⁹ P. Genova,^{7,8} J. S. Hangst,³ R. S. Hayano,⁹ L. V. Jørgensen,⁶ V. Lagomarsino,^{1,4} R. Landua,² E. Lodi Rizzini,¹⁰ M. Macri,¹ N. Madsen,¹¹ G. Manuzio,^{1,4} G. Testera,¹ A. Variola,¹ $\left| {T_L} ight|^2$ [arb. units] Quality Factor 1.8 and D. P. van der Werf⁶ (ATHENA Collaboration) OT 1.6 serial LCR 1.4 1.2 plasma circuit RING 3 2 3 Plasma = number of 0.8 behaves as a 0.6 particles part of a serial 0.4 0.2 LCR circuit $\{ R_j \mid I_j \}$ 0.994 0.996 0.998 1.002 1.004 1.006 Ir $R_t \ge$ $R_r \gtrsim$ 1 V. ω/ω, FIG. 4. Examples of the measured $|T_L|^2$ as a function of the ratio between

FIG. 4. Examples of the measured $|T_L|^2$ as a function of the ratio between ω and the corresponding ω_1 value. The data refer to electrons stored in the catching trap. Bullets (•) correspond to $N = 5.8 \times 10^7$ and triangles (\blacktriangle) to $N = 2.6 \times 10^8$. The solid lines are the best fit functions [Eq. (23)].

Excite plasma [(1,0) axial oscillation mode] using RF
 Receive the indices signal from the plasma

Excite

Pick up

- Plasma is a part of LCR serial circuit
- Quality factor gives the number of particles in the plasma
- (Relative) temperature measurement also possible

PUMA - a Transportable Ion Trap System





Figure: J. Fisher and A. Schmidt

Required vacuum



 \mathbf{M} Extreme vacuum is necessary to keep $\overline{\mathsf{P}}$ alive for a long term

Considering the perfect gas law $P = \rho \times k_B T$

cf. S. Ulmer, BASE cf. G. Gabrielse, ATRAP



The residual rate R₂ of annihilations in PUMA is therefore

$$R_2 = \frac{N_{\bar{p}}}{\tau} = N_{\bar{p}} \times 2 \times 10^{10} \times \frac{P}{T}$$

At 5 K, for N = 10^7 antiprotons if P = 10^{-12} mbar, R₂ = $4 \ 10^4 \ s^{-1}$ if P = 10^{-17} mbar, R₂ = $0.4 \ s^{-1}$

Required vacuum darmstadt Extreme vacuum also necessary to improve S/N ratio Physics event rate in the antiproton cloud: R_1 L = 5 - 10 cm $R_1 = \rho_{\bar{p}} \times \sigma \times N \times \frac{v}{L}$ Signal to noise ratio: The signal over background is better than 1 only if: PA annihilation rate vs $\frac{R_1}{R_2} > 1 \Longleftrightarrow P < \frac{\sigma \times N \times v}{V \times T \times 7.8 \times 10^{-11}}$ Pgas annihilation rate

TECHNISCHE

One extreme case: ¹¹Li

Capture cross section from ¹¹Li [Cohen, PRA 69] = 8.10^{-16} cm² at 50 eV in the laboratory (Ecm=0.15 a.u.) V=pi*0.2²*5 = 0.6 cm³, T=5 K, N=10 v=3*10⁶ cm.s⁻¹ (¹¹Li at 50 eV)

 R_1 is about 1 s⁻¹ (<R1> = 10 /min), one gets the condition for proper operation: P<1.6 10⁻¹⁷ mbar.

Cryopumping - isotherms



 \mathbf{V} Isotherms = equilibrium between adsorbed molecules & pressure \mathbf{V} Data exists down to 10⁻¹² mbar



Extrapolate into lower pressure using DRK model
 Maximum particle you can introduce = Lifetime of 10-17 mbar vacuum
 Molflow simulation to estimate lifetime ongoing

Extreme high vacuum: A conductance simulation for ISOLDE beam line



☑ Differential pumping to isolate ISOLDE 10⁻⁶ and PUMA 10⁻¹² mbar vacuum
☑ Simulation based on molecular flow conductance: Molflow (CERN)



Reducing Conductance : A development of cryogenic gate valve & conductance barrier



☑Extreme vacuum also necessary to improve S/N ratio



Reduce conductance
Saw-Structure
Reflect incoming gas particles
Simulation to search for the best shape

- Reduce conductance
- Motion driven by a current loop in B-field
- ✓ Open/Close time: ~100 ms
- Under development

PUMA - a Transportable Ion Trap System





Figure: J. Fisher and A. Schmidt

PUMA - Charged pion detection



Cylindrical Time Projection Chamber (TPC): Geant4 simulation
 ID pion charge (π+ or π-) based on the curvature of the track in B-field
 Optimization study to maximize detection efficiency

Event monitor of an annihilation



Efficiency vs TPC axial length



Summary



- ✓ PUMA: Starting program at CERN / ELENA and ISOLDE
- Antiprotons to probe the surface phenomena of short-lived nuclei
- Neutron halos and thick neutron skins in medium mass short-lived nuclei.
- **Transport trapped antiprotons from ELENA to ISOLDE.**
- First physics experiments expected in 2022 at ELENA.
- ✓ Test setup to be built @ TU Darmstadt within 2019.
- **Proposal plan to be submitted within this year.**

PUMA - Test Setup

Test Setup to be built at TU DarmstadtPoster Session by J. Fischer & A. Schmidt. (Thursday)

Reservoir Trap:
 "infinite" storage of many charged particles (e-, P).

Destructive Diagnostics:Micro channel plate & Faraday cup.

 Non-destructive diagnostics:
 Plasma diagnostics based on induced charge.

 Cryogenic Ready:
 Keep trap 4K to be used as cryo pump. - Crucial to achieve UHV for antiprotons to survive.



Test 3T-Solenoid just installed





J. Carbonell, A. Corsi, F. Flavigny, H. De Gersem, G. Hupin, Y. Kubota, R. Lazauskas, S. Malbrunot, N. Marsic, W. F. O. Müller, S. Naimi, N. Nakatsuka, A. Obertelli, N. Paul, P. Pérez, E.C. Pollacco, M. Rosenbusch, R. Seki, T. Uesaka, F. Wienholtz











