

#### FLUCTUATIONS FROM LATTICE QCD AND HRG MODEL Claudia Ratti

University of Houston (USA)

Collaborators: Rene Bellwied, Jana Guenther, Jaki Noronha-Hostler, Paolo Parotto, Attila Pasztor, Israel Portillo, Jamie Stafford









## **Evolution of a Heavy Ion Collision**



- Chemical freeze-out: inelastic reactions cease: the chemical composition of the system is fixed (particle yields and fluctuations)
- Kinetic freeze-out: elastic reactions cease: spectra and correlations are frozen (free streaming of hadrons)
- Hadrons reach the detector

## Hadron yields



- E=mc<sup>2</sup>: lots of particles are created
- Particle counting (average over many events)
- Take into account:
  - detector inefficiency
  - missing particles at low p<sub>T</sub>
  - decays
- HRG model: test hypothesis of hadron abundancies in equilibrium

$$N_i = -T \frac{\partial \ln Z_i}{\partial \mu} = \frac{g_i V}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{p^2 \mathrm{d}p}{\exp[(E_i - \mu_i)/T] \pm 1} dx$$

#### The thermal fits



 Changing the collision energy, it is possible to draw the freeze-out line in the T, μB plane

Cleymans et al., Becattini et al., Andronic et al.

- Fit is performed minimizing the  $X^2$
- Fit to yields: parameters T, µB, V
- Fit to ratios: the volume V cancels out



#### Fluctuations of conserved charges

Definition:

$$\chi^{BSQ}_{lmn} = \frac{\partial^{\,l+m+n} p/T^4}{\partial (\mu_B/T)^l \partial (\mu_S/T)^m \partial (\mu_Q/T)^n}$$

Relationship between chemical potentials:

$$\mu_{u} = \frac{1}{3}\mu_{B} + \frac{2}{3}\mu_{Q};$$
  

$$\mu_{d} = \frac{1}{3}\mu_{B} - \frac{1}{3}\mu_{Q};$$
  

$$\mu_{s} = \frac{1}{3}\mu_{B} - \frac{1}{3}\mu_{Q} - \mu_{S}.$$

They can be calculated on the lattice and compared to experiment

#### Connection to experiment

 Fluctuations of conserved charges are the cumulants of their eventby-event distribution

mean :  $M = \chi_1$  variance :  $\sigma^2 = \chi_2$ 

skewness :  $S = \chi_3 / \chi_2^{3/2}$  kurtosis :  $\kappa = \chi_4 / \chi_2^2$ 

 $S\sigma = \chi_3/\chi_2$   $\kappa\sigma^2 = \chi_4/\chi_2$ 

$$M/\sigma^2 = \chi_1/\chi_2 \qquad \qquad S\sigma^3/M = \chi_3/\chi_1$$

F. Karsch: Centr. Eur. J. Phys. (2012)

The chemical potentials are not independent: fixed to match the experimental conditions:

$$< n_{\rm S} >= 0$$
  $< n_{\rm Q} >= 0.4 < n_{\rm B} >$ 

#### Freeze-out line from first principles



#### Freeze-out line from the HRG model

- A combined fit of the net-p and net-Q fluctuations yields a lower freeze-out temperature than the one from yields
- Yields include (multi-)strange particles
- For some particles, fluctuations are more sensitive than yields to T<sub>fo</sub>
   P. Alba, C.R. et al., PLB (2014)
   P. Alba, C.R. et al., PRC (2015)



#### STAR Collaboration 1709.00773



- Calculate  $\chi_1/\chi_2$  for kaons in the HRG model, including resonance decays and acceptance cuts
- Calculate it along the isentropes
- Fit  $\chi_1/\chi_2$  and extract  $T_{fo}$
- □ Obtain  $\mu_{Bfo}$  from the isentropes

R. Bellwied, C. R. et al., 1805.00088

R. Bellwied, C. R. et al., 1805.00088



 $\Box \chi_1/\chi_2$  for kaons needs a higher freeze-out temperature than net-p/net-Q

R. Bellwied, C. R. et al., 1805.00088



 $\nabla \chi_1/\chi_2$  for kaons needs a higher freeze-out temperature than net-p/net-Q

The f.o. parameters agree with the STAR fit of yields (including strange particles)
 STAR Collaboration, PRC (2017)

#### Prediction: net-A fluctuations

R. Bellwied, C. R. et al., 1805.00088



- We predict the net- $\Lambda \chi_2/\chi_1$  and  $\chi_3/\chi_2$ , assuming that they freeze-out with the charge/ protons or the kaons
- The  $\chi_2/\chi_1$  data prefer the netkaon freeze-out conditions

STAR preliminary data (see talks by Z. Ye and T. Nonaka and poster by N. Kulatunga at QM2018)

#### A different approach



- Simultaneous fit of  $\chi_1/\chi_2$  for kaons and of the strange anti-baryon over baryon yield ratios to determine T and  $\mu B$
- Qualitative agreement with our results

J. Noronha-Hostler, C.R. et al., 1607.02527

- Lattice QCD works in terms of conserved charges
- □ Challenge: isolate the fluctuations of a given particle species
- Assuming an HRG model in the Boltzmann approximation, it is possible to write the pressure as:

$$P(\hat{\mu}_B, \hat{\mu}_S) = P_{00}^{BS} + P_{10}^{BS} \cosh(\hat{\mu}_B) + P_{01}^{BS} \cosh(\hat{\mu}_S)$$

- $+ P_{11}^{BS} \cosh(\hat{\mu}_B \hat{\mu}_S) + P_{12}^{BS} \cosh(\hat{\mu}_B 2\hat{\mu}_S) + P_{13}^{BS} \cosh(\hat{\mu}_B 3\hat{\mu}_S)$ 
  - Kaons in heavy ion collisions: primordial + decays
- Idea: calculate χ<sub>2</sub><sup>K</sup>/χ<sub>1</sub><sup>K</sup> in the HRG model for the two cases: only primordial kaons in the Boltzmann approximation vs primordial + resonance decay kaons

J. Noronha-Hostler, C.R. et al., 1607.02527



Boltzmann approximation works well for lower order kaon fluctuations

$$\frac{\zeta_2^K}{\zeta_1^K} = \frac{\cosh(\hat{\mu}_S + \hat{\mu}_Q)}{\sinh(\hat{\mu}_S + \hat{\mu}_Q)}$$

 χ<sub>2</sub><sup>K</sup>/χ<sub>1</sub><sup>K</sup> from primordial kaons + decays is very close to the one in the Boltzmann approximation

J. Noronha-Hostler, C.R. et al. forthcoming



J. Noronha-Hostler, C.R. et al. forthcoming



#### **Possible explanations**



- □ Non-equilibrium effects (hadronic re-interactions)? J. Steinheimer et al., PRL (2013)
- □ Interacting HRG model? K. Redlich et al.
- Non-thermal effects? A. Rustamov et al.

#### Conclusions

- Lattice QCD and HRG model give consistent results on freeze-out conditions from fluctuations
- Fits of net-p and net-charge  $\chi_2/\chi_1$  yield freeze-out parameters at the lower end of the crossover region
- Fits of net-K  $\chi_2/\chi_1$  yield freeze-out temperatures that are ~15-20 MeV higher than the ones from net-p/net-charge fits
- New data for net-A fluctuations are closer to the net-K freeze-out parameters

### Things to keep in mind

- Effects due to volume variation because of finite centrality bin width
  - Experimentally corrected by centrality-bin-width correction method
- V. Skokov et al., PRC (2013), P. Braun-Munzinger et al., NPA (2017), Finite reconstruction efficiency V. Beaun and M. Mackowiak-Pawlowska (2017) V. Begun and M. Mackowiak-Pawlowska (2017)
  - Experimentally corrected based on binomial distribution A.Bzdak, V.Koch, PRC (2012)
- Spallation protons

- Experimentally removed with proper cuts in  $p_{T}$
- Canonical vs Gran Canonical ensemble
  - Experimental cuts in the kinematics and acceptance V. Koch, S. Jeon, PRL (2000)
- Proton multiplicity distributions vs baryon number fluctuations

  - Recipes for treating proton fluctuations M. Asakawa and M. Kitazawa, PRC(2012), M. Nahrgang et al., 1402.1238 Final-state interactions in the hadronic phase
    - Consistency between different charges = fundamental test

```
J.Steinheimer et al., PRL (2013)
```

## Fluctuations of conserved charges

If we look at the entire system, none of the conserved charges will fluctuate

\*By studying a sufficiently small subsystem, the fluctuations of conserved quantities become meaningful



- □ △Ytotal: range for total charge multiplicity distribution
- $\Box$   $\Delta$ Yaccept: interval for the accepted charged particles
- □ ∆Ykick: rapidity shift that charges receive during and after hadronization

J. Noronha-Hostler, C.R. et al. forthcoming



#### QCD phase diagram and freeze-out



#### Lattice details

#### The 4stout staggered action

- 2+1+1 dynamical flavors
- 4 levels of stout smearing in the fermionic action
- The masses are set by bracketing both the pion and the kaon masses within a few percent, keeping m<sub>c</sub>/m<sub>s</sub>=11.85
- The scale is set in two ways:  $f_{\pi}$  and  $w_0$  (with Wilson flow). The scale setting procedure is one of the source of the systematic error in all of the plots

#### Ensembles

- **c** Continuum limit from  $N_t$ =10, 12, 16
- **•** For imaginary  $\mu$  we have  $\mu_B = iT\pi j/8$ , with j=3, 4, 5, 6, 6.5, 7

#### Equation of state at $\mu_B > 0$

Expand the pressure in powers of  $\mu_B$  (or  $\mu_L = 3/2(\mu_u + \mu_d)$ )



Continuum extrapolated results at the physical mass

# Analytical continuation – illustration of systematics



#### Testing the Taylor expansion

R. Critelli, C. R. et al., PRD (2017)

Taylor expansion of observables in terms of susceptibilities  $\chi_n = \chi_n^B(T, \mu_B = 0)$ 

Pressure

$$\frac{p(T,\mu_B) - p(T,\mu_B = 0)}{T^4} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_{2n}}{(2n!)} \left(\frac{\mu_B}{T}\right)^{2n}$$

Baryonic density

$$\frac{\rho_B(T,\mu_B)}{T^3} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_{2n}}{(2n-1)!} \left(\frac{\mu_B}{T}\right)^{2n-1}$$

• Susceptibilities 
$$\chi_2$$
 and  $\chi_4$   
 $\chi_2(T,\mu_B) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_{2n+2}}{(2n!)} \left(\frac{\mu_B}{T}\right)^{2n} \quad \chi_4(T,\mu_B) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_{2n+4}}{(2n!)} \left(\frac{\mu_B}{T}\right)^{2n}$ 

#### Testing the Taylor expansion

R. Critelli, C. R. et al., forthcoming

Reconstruction of thermodynamic quantities at different values of  $\mu_B/T$  via Taylor series from calculations at  $\mu_B = 0$ . 1.0  $\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm T}=3.3$ Lattice QCD 1.5 Full 0.8  $\mu_B/T=3$  $O(\mu_B^6)$  $O(\mu_B^8)$ 0.6 ρΒ μ<sub>B</sub>/T=2 0.4 μ<sub>B</sub>/T=2 0.2 μ<sub>B</sub>/T=1  $\mu_B/T=1$ 0.0 0.0 150 160 170 180 140 140 190 150 160 170 180 190 200 200 T [MeV] T [MeV] 0.08 0.40 Full  $\mu_{B}/T=2.5$ 0.35  $O(\mu_B^6)$ 0.07 0.30  $O(\mu_B^8)$ 0.25 0.06 **x**<sup>B</sup><sub>20.20</sub>  $\chi_4^B$  $\mu_B/T=2$ 0.05 0.15 0.10 μ<sub>B</sub>/T=1 0.04  $\mu_B/T=1$ 0.05 0.03<u></u> 130 125 130 135 140 145 150 155 160 140 150 160 170 T [MeV] T [MeV]

Lattice results: [HotQCD] Phys. Rev. D, 95, 054504 (2017).

#### Connection to experiment

R. Critelli, C. R. et al., (2017)

- We want to estimate the collision energy we need to find the critical point in experiments
- We compare the baryonic BH susceptibilities ratios with the netproton moments measured at STAR
- Freeze-out parameters are extracted by fitting the experimental values for  $\chi 1/\chi 2$  and  $\chi 3/\chi 2$



[STAR] Phys. Rev. Lett. 112 (2014)



- Calculate  $\chi_1/\chi_2$  for kaons in the HRG model, including resonance decays and acceptance cuts
- Calculate it along the isentropes



C. R. et al., in preparation