Nucleon structure probed in elastic electron scattering

Bogdan Wojtsekhowski, JLab

- Elastic electron-nucleon scattering
- Flavor structure of the nucleon & diquark
- Future form factor experiments at JLab

The laboratory, founded in 1984 in Newport News, Virginia, operates a 6 GeV continuous electron beam accelerator.

Jeffe

A 12-GeV upgrade is completed

Three experimental halls (A, B, C) are equipped to study electron and photon induced reactions.

A new hall D is being constructed for searches of the exotic states produced in γp interactions.



Ferson and a formatory

Jefferson boratory

Beam parameters:

energy up to 12 GeV intensity up to 180 μA polarization 85% pol. flip systematic 10⁻⁹ time structure 2-4 ns

Luminosity: 10³⁹ cm⁻²/s

Detector systems: many

Polarized targets (used): NH_3/ND_3 : L ~10³⁵ cm⁻²/s HD (for the photon beam) ³He: L ~10³⁶ cm⁻²/s



3

Page 1 of 1

Jefferson Laboratory

The major research highlights include:



Jefferson Laboratory

Proton strangeness Form Factors via parity non-conserving elastic electron scattering



Composite structure of the nucleon



O.Stern,1937



E.Fermi, 1947 ECT, September 13, 2018 The magnetic moment of the proton was measured by the method of the magnetic deflection of molecular beams employing H₂ and HD. The result is $\mu P=2.46\mu_0\pm3$ percent.

PHYSICAL REVIEW VOLUME 72, NUMBER 12

DECEMBER 15, 1947

On the Interaction Between Neutrons and Electrons*

E. FERMI AND L. MARSHALL Argonne National Laboratory and Institute for Nuclear Studies, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois (Received September 2, 1947)

The possible existence of a potential interaction between neutron and electron has been investigated by examining the asymmetry of thermal neutron scattering from xenon. It has been found that the scattering in the center-of-gravity system shows exceedingly little asymmetry. By assuming an interaction of a range equal to the classical electron radius, the depth of the potential well has been found to be 300 ± 5000 ev. This result is compared with estimates based on the mesotron theory according to which the depth should be 12000 ev. It is concluded that the interaction is not larger than that expected from the mesotron theory; that, however, no definite contradiction of the mesotron theory can be drawn at present, partly because of the possibility that the experimental error may have been underestimated, and partly because of the indefiniteness of the theories which makes the theoretical estimate uncertain.

INTRODUCTION

THE purpose of this paper is to investigate an interaction between neutrons and electrons due to the possible existence of a short range potential between the two particles. If such a short range force should exist, one would expect some evidence of it in the scattering of neutrons by atoms. The scattering of neutrons by an atom is mostly due to an interaction of the of nuclear forces. According to these theories, proton and neutron are basically two states of the same particle, the nucleon. A neutron can transform into a proton according to the reaction:

$$N = P + \bar{\mu}. \tag{1}$$

 $(N = \text{neutron}, P = \text{proton}, \bar{\mu} = \text{negative mesotron})$

Actually, a neutron will spend a fraction of its time as neutron proper (left-hand side of Eq. (1))

Nucleon structure probed in elastic electron scattering

Introduction of the Form Factors

Nucleon current, one-photon approximation, $\alpha_{em} = 1/137$,



Rosenbluth,

 $\mathcal{J}_{hadron}^{\mu} = ie\bar{N}(p_f) \left[\gamma^{\nu} F_1(Q^2) + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}}{2M} F_2(Q^2)\right] N(p_i)$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(E,\theta) = \frac{\alpha^2 E' \cos^2(\frac{\theta}{2})}{4E^3 \sin^4(\frac{\theta}{2})} [(F_1^2 + \kappa^2 \tau F_2^2) + 2\tau (F_1 + \kappa F_2)^2 \tan^2(\frac{\theta}{2})]$$



Sachs, 1962

 $\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(E,\theta) = \sigma_M \left[\frac{G_E^2 + \tau G_M^2}{1 + \tau} + 2\tau G_M^2 \tan^2(\frac{\theta}{2})\right]$

SLAC results for the proton Form Factors



FIG. 9. Four typical Rosenbluth fits for the form factor extraction from the global data set at (a) $Q^2 = 0.6$, (b) $Q^2 = 1.0$, (c) $Q^2 = 2.0$, and (d) $Q^2 = 3.0 \, (\text{GeV}/c)^2$.

Walker et al,1993





SLAC results for the Form Factors



Results for the Form Factors



Sachs Form Factors of the nucleon



Nucleon form factors scaling





Analysis was motivated by pQCD: Q²F₂/F₁ = const

Discovery: It is rising and it looks like a higher F_2 can explain the data.

Jerry Miller suggested accounting for Orbital Angular Momentum!

Balitsky-Ji-Yuan: Modified logarithmic scaling works from surprisingly low Q².

From the Sachs FFs to the contributions of the u- / d-quarks

$$egin{array}{rll} F_1 &=& rac{G_E + au G_M}{1 + au} \ F_2 &=& -rac{G_E - G_M}{1 + au} \end{array} egin{array}{rll} F_1^u &=& 2\,F_{1p} \,+\,F_{1n} \ F_1^d &=& 2\,F_{1n} \,+\,F_{1p} \end{array}$$



ECT, September 13, 2018

A diquark configuration?An effect of orbital motion?

From Sachs FFs to the contributions of the u- / d-quarks

Results of E02-013 Hall A GEn





pQCD prediction for large Q^2 : $S \rightarrow Q^2 F_2/F_1 = \text{const}$

pQCD updated prediction: $S \rightarrow \left[Q^2/\ln^2(Q^2/\Lambda^2)\right] F_2/F_1$

Flavor separated contribution: The log scaling for the proton Form Factor ratio at a few GeV^2 is "accidental".

The lines for individual flavor are straight!

Cates, Jager, Riordan, BW Physical Review Letters, 106, 252003 (2011)



pQCD prediction for large Q^2 : $S \rightarrow Q^2 F_2/F_1$

pQCD updated prediction: $S \rightarrow \left[Q^2/\ln^2(Q^2/\Lambda^2)\right] F_2/F_1$

Flavor separated contribution: The log scaling for the proton Form Factor ratio at a few GeV^2 is "accidental".

The lines for individual flavor are straight!

Cates, Jager, Riordan, BW Physical Review Letters, 106, 252003 (2011)

The flavor disparity in the nucleon



When the virtual photon of 3 GeV² interacts with the down quark the proton more likely falls apart than in the case of the up quark

Understanding of the nucleon



Segovia, Roberts, Schmidt, "Understanding the nucleon as a Borromean boundstate", arXiv:nucl-th/ 1506.05112

Craig Roberts, Three Lectures on Hadron Physics arXiv:nucl-th/ 1509.02925



The diquarks in the nucleon combined provide a key to understanding of the structure of the three-quark bound system

Hall A at JLab



The Hall is equipped with several magnetic spectrometers

Optimization of the experimental setup

Energy, Solid angle, Efficiency

Form factor $\propto Q^{-4}$ Cross section $\propto E^2/Q^4 \times Q^{-8}$ Figure-of-Merit $\epsilon A_{_Y}^2 \times \sigma \times \Omega$ $\propto E^2/Q^{16}$

ECT, September 13, 2018 Nucleon structure probed in elastic electron scattering

The concept of Super Bigbite



presented to PAC32 on Aug. 7, 2007

Super Bigbite Spectrometer in 2017



Solid angle 70 msr for the central angle > 15°

JLab detector landscape



A range of 10⁴ in luminosity.

JLab detector landscape



A range of 10⁴ in luminosity.

A big range in solid angle: from 5 msr (SHMS) to about 1000 msr (CLAS12).

The SBS is in the middle: for solid angle (up to 70 msr) and high luminosity capability.

In several A-rated experiments SBS was found to be the best match to the physics.

GEM allows a spectrometer with open geometry (->large acceptance) at high L.

Optimization of the experimental setup

Proton magnetic form factor: E12-07-108



Neutron/proton form factors ratio: E12-09-019



Proton form factors ratio, GEp(5): E12-07-109



Neutron form factors ratio, GEn(2):E12-09-016



ECT, September 13, 2018

Nucleon structure probed in elastic electron scattering

The nucleon FFs



E012-07-108 Experiment

Precision Measurement of the Proton Elastic Cross-Section at High Q²

- Precision e-p elastic cross-section is necessary to:
 - Constrain two-photon exchange (TPE) contribution through global analysis
 - Determine GEp, GMp and TPE contributions at high Q², in combination with polarization measurements
 - Find absolute form factor values from 12-GeV era JLab experiments
- Preliminary cross-section results are presented below with 5% uncertainty (total)
- Final results will be available very soon (fall 2018), 2% systematic uncertainty

1.2 о Andivahis Sill $\left(\mathrm{d}\sigma/\mathrm{d}\Omega
ight)$ / $\left(\mathrm{d}\sigma/\mathrm{d}\Omega
ight)_{\mathrm{1}\gamma,\,\mathrm{dipole}}$ Walker 1.0 JLab 6 GeV Our preliminary results (May 2017) 0.8 0.6 F012-07-108 statistical uncertainty ±2% projected systematics 0.4 10 20 30 $Q^{2} [(GeV/c)^{2}]$

JLab E012-07-108, *e-p* elastic cross section

12 GeV GMn experiment

Ratio of the cross sections D(e, e'n) and D(e, e'p)



GMn/GMp and GPDs



 $F_1^d < 0$ presents an interesting challenge to such a model

GPD model (Guidal et al):

$$F_1^u(t) = \int_0^1 dx u_v(x) e^{-t\alpha' \ln x},$$

$$F_1^d(t) = \int_0^1 dx d_v(x) e^{-t\alpha' \ln x}.$$





12 GeV GEp experiment

Transverse polarization of the proton in the polarized electron-proton scattering



12 GeV GEn experiment



A diquark component of the nucleon

Correlations: q-qbar and q-q

Mesons are diquarks: Scalar and Vector

Strangeness FFs: F_{E}^{s} and F_{M}^{s}

A number of experiments were performed on FF_s

The signal was found to be consistent with zero

Electromagnetic form factors

$$F_i^p = e_u F_i^u + e_d F_i^d + e_s F_i^s,$$

$$F_i^n = e_u F_i^d + e_d F_i^u + e_s F_i^s,$$

$$\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x \big[s(x) - \bar{s}(x) \big] = 0$$

 $F_1^s(0) = 0 \qquad \qquad F_2^s(0) = \mu_s$

Method from D.Beck and R.McKeown

Polarized electron beam elastic e-p scattering

$$A = \left[\frac{-G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha}\right] \frac{\varepsilon G_E^{\gamma} G_E^{Z} + \tau G_M^{\gamma} G_M^{Z} - (1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W)\varepsilon' G_M^{\gamma} G_A^e}{\varepsilon (G_E^{\gamma})^2 + \tau (G_M)^2}$$

Strangeness form factors $F_1^s(0) = 0$ $F_2^s(0) = \mu_s$ G^s_E is 0 at Q²=0 and G^s_M is also very small

Small G^{s}_{M} is consistent with the vector s-s

In fact, the ϕ -meson magnetic moment is ~0 and the meson has a very small size ~ 0.26 fm

$$F_1^s(0) = 0 \qquad F_2^s(0) = \mu_s$$

 G_{E}^{s} is 0 at Q²=0 and G_{M}^{s} is also very small

Small G^{s}_{M} is consistent with the vector s- \overline{s}

In fact, the ϕ -meson magnetic moment is ~0 and the meson has a very small size ~ 0.26 fm

$$A = \left[\frac{-G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha}\right] \frac{\varepsilon G_E^{\gamma} G_E^Z + \tau G_M^{\gamma} G_M^Z - (1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W)\varepsilon' G_M^{\gamma} G_A^e}{\varepsilon (G_E^{\gamma})^2 + \tau (G_M)^2}$$

We propose to look for G^s at large $Q^2 \sim a$ few GeV^2



Fabiana Carvalho, Fernando S. Navarra, and Marina Nielsen

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 72, 068202 (2005)

ECT, September 13, 2018

Nucleon structure probed in elastic electron scattering

Neutron electrical form factor

If the relevant s- \overline{s} correlation is a vector then the phi-meson is a good model

ECT, September 13, 2018

ϕ -meson form factor

PARAMETER $\beta \text{ IN } \phi \rightarrow Pe^+e^- \text{ DECAYS}$

In the one-pole approximation the electromagnetic transition form factor for $\phi \rightarrow Pe^+e^-$ ($P = \pi, \eta$) is given as a function of the e^+e^- invariant mass squared, q^2 , by the expression:

$$|F(q^2)|^2 = (1 - q^2/\Lambda^2)^{-2}$$
,

where vector meson dominance predicts parameter $\Lambda \approx 0.770 \text{ GeV}$ ($\Lambda^{-2} \approx 1.687 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$). The slope of this form factor, $\beta = dF/dq^2(q^2=0)$, equals Λ^{-2} in this approximation.

The measurements below obtain β in the one-pole approximation.

PARAMETER β IN $\phi \rightarrow$		$\pi^{0}e^{+}e^{-}$ DECAY			
VALUE (GeV $^{-2}$)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
2.02 ± 0.11	9.5k	¹ ANASTASI	16 B	KLOE	1.02 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+e^-$

This combined phi-pi radius ~ 0.69 fm with a pi-0 radius of ~ 0.64 fm and a ϕ -meson radius of ~ 0.26 fm

Coincidence parity experiment

PAC29, January 12, 2006

Coincidence is needed for selecting of the elastic scattering process

Coincidence parity experiment

The apparatus can re-use two calorimeters from the GEp/SBS experiment

Calorimeter for parity experiment

Schematic of the parity-violating electron scattering experimenta PVA4

Success with a low energy electron beam

Coincidence parity experiment

The projected rate of elastic e-p events is 50 kHz Statistical accuracy is ~ 6 ppm in a 30 day run Standard asymmetry is expected to be ~ 200 ppm

Summary

- Flavor decomposition of the nucleon Form Factors revealed significant change in the up and down quarks' contributions to the F₁ form factor at Q² above 1-1.5 GeV².
- Nucleon Form Factors, first investigated 60 years ago, is an active field which has many questions to be answered.
- 12-GeV JLab with the Super Bigbite spectrometer will be an ideal tool for the experiments in Nucleon Form Factor physics at large Q².
- Strangeness FF likely has a peak at a high Q² and could be discovered in a 3 GeV² JLab experiment.